S M Nazmuz Sakib's Holistic Microbial Intelligence and Symbiotic Cognition Theory (HMISCT): Integrating Non-Equilibrium Microbial Dynamics and AI-Driven Insights

Authors: Ustun Sahin[1] (ussahin@atauni.edu.tr), Dr. Md. Ruhul Amin, PT[2] (ruhul31physio@yahoo.com), Fluturim Saliu[3] (fluturim_saliu@yahoo.com)(fluturim_saliu@unite.edu.mk), A. S. Aleksanyan[4] (alla.alexanyan@gmail.com), Robert W Gardner Jr[5], Paul M Ridker, MD[6] (pridker@bwh.harvard.edu), Tahsan Mahmood Tariq[7] (mahmoodtahmid006@gmail.com), Martin Blaser[8] (martin.blaser@nyumc.org), Md Shariful islam[9] (sharifphysio605@gmail.com), Ibne Mohammad Shakhawat Hossain[10] (ibnemohammadshakhawathossain@gmail.com), Jannatul Ferdous Swarna[11] (jasminswarna92@gmail.com), John PA Ioannidis[12], Rizwana Amin[13] (dr.rizwanaamin@gmail.com), Joel Schwartz[14], Waseem Ahmed Khattak[15] (waseem007ustb@gmail.com), Dan Geschwind[16], MD. RAJU AHAMMAD[17] (mdrajuahammad570@gmail.com), Derek Lovley[18], Dr. Karuna M.S[19] (m.karuna@mjpru.ac.in), Wolff Michael Roth[21], Caner Yerli[22], Osamah Ibrahim Khalaf[23] (usama81818@nahrainuniv.edu.iq), Talip Cakmakci[24], Nahum Sonenberg[25],

1 Department of Agricultural Structures and Irrigation, Faculty of Agriculture, Ataturk University, Erzurum, Turkey.

2 Associate Professor, Institute of Medical Technology, University of Dhaka, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

3 Associate Professor, Faculty of Economics, University of Tetova, St. Ilinden bb 1200, Tetovo 1220, North.

4 Takhtadjan Institute of Botany, National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Armenia, 0063, Yerevan, Armenia.

5 Faculty, University of Chicago.

6 Eugene Braunwald Professor of Medicine, Harvard Medical School.

7 Department of Epidemiology and biostatistics, Faculty of public health, Bangladesh University of Health Sciences.

8 Professor of Medicine and Microbiology, Rutgers University.

9 Institute of Medical Technology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Dhaka.

10 Student of BSc in Physiotherapy, Faculty of Medicine, University of Dhaka, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

11 United international University.

12 Faculty, Stanford University

13 Senior Associate Professor, Bahria University, Islamabad.

14 Harvard University

15 Mphil (Plant science), Quaid-I-Azam University Islamabad, Pakistan

16 University of California Los Angeles

17 Faculty of Law, Dhaka International University; House # 4, Road # 1, Block - F, Dhaka 1213.

18 University of Massachusetts Amherst

19 Assistant Professor & Head, Department of Chemical Engineering, Mahatma Jyotiba Phule Rohilkhand University, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh, India.

20 Assistant Professor & Head, Department of Chemical Engineering, Mahatma Jyotiba Phule Rohilkhand University, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh, India.

21 University of Victoria British Columbia

22 Department of Biosystem Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Yuzuncu Yil University, Van, Turkey.

23 Department of Solar ,Al-Nahrain Research Center for Renewable Energy, Al-Nahrain University, Jadriya, Baghdad, Iraq.

MD RASEL MIA[26] (mdraselmahmud797@gmail.com), Carl June[27], Mousumi Begum[28], Keya Khatun[29] (keyakhatun76@gmail.com), SR Mahin Shefa[30](shaurinroza@gmail.com), Muhammad Hamid Nawaz Khan[31] (hamid.nawaz@iub.edu.pk), Linus O. Akudolu[32] (oluchukwu1900@gmail.com), Alfisa Siddique[33], Fr. Baiju Thomas[34] (rtobaiju@gmail.com), Jasmine Purushothaman[35] (jasbose@gmail.com), Birhan Gessese Gobie[36] (birhange@yahoo.com), Peter Libby[37], Mirza MD. Tanvir Mahtab Faysal[38] (mirzatanvir134@gmail.com), Md. Ahsanul Islam[39] (asasifkhan529@gmail.com), Israth Jahan Sonda[40] (israthjahan5678@gmail.com), Jahidul Islam Sahed[41] (Shahedkhandokar145@gmail.com), Lubbabah Sugra Siddiqi Tamanna[42] (sugrasiddiqi24@gmail.com), Mehedi Hasan[43] (mh446@student.london.ac.uk), NUR-E- IMAN NASIM TALUKDAR[44], Liton Mia (liton.mia@dsce.edu.bd)[45], Md

---

24 Department of Biosystem Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Yuzuncu Yil University, Van, Turkey.

25 McGill University

26 Faculty of Law, Dhaka International University; House # 4, Road # 1, Block - F, Dhaka 1213.

27 Nemours Children's Health System

28 Department of Law, Sonargaon University, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

29 Graduate of Diploma in Architecture, Khulna Mohila Polytechnic Institute, Khulna, Bangladesh.

30 Department of Zoology, Rajshahi University, Bangladesh.

31 Faculty member, Agricultural Extension Education, Faculty of Agriculture & Environment,

The ISLAMIA university of BAHAWALPUR, Pakistan.

32 Department of Philosophy/Religion and Cultural Studies, Alex Ekwueme Federal University, Ndufu-Alike, Ebonyi State,

Nigeria.

33 Zoological Survey of India, Kolkata-700053, India.

34 Research Scholar, Ramakrishna Mission Vivekananda Educational and Research Institute,

Faculty of Disability Management and Special Education, Vidyalaya Campus, SRKV Post,

Coimbatore – 20.

35 Zoological Survey of India, Kolkata-700053, India.

36 Wollo University, Department of Physics, Dessie, Ethiopia.

37 Harvard University

38 Student of Bachelor of Economics in Developmental Economics, Dhaka School of

Economics (DScE), University of Dhaka, Bangladesh.

39 Department of Social Work, Jagannath University.

40 Department of Law, Bangladesh University of

Professionals, Bangladesh.

41 LLB Student, Department of Law and land administration, Patuakhali Science and Technology University, Bangladesh.

42 Department of Law, Bangladesh University of

Professionals.

43 Department of Law, Bangladesh University of

Professionals.

44 Department of Law, Bangladesh University of

Professionals, Bangladesh.

45 Lecturer, department of Development Economics,

Dhaka School of Economics, University of Dhaka

Nazmul Hossain (bestnazmul021@gmail.com)[46], Dr. M. Ejaz Hasan[47], Al-Amin Hossain (sawonk301@gmail.com)[48], Md. Sohag Hasan (sohagh628@gmail.com)[49], MD. APPLE SARKER[50] (mdapplee420@gmail.com), Dr. Yogender Singh[51] (yoginderrangi@gmail.com), Md. Shoyaib Mahmud[52] (shoyaib15-1525@diu.edu.bd), Md Abu Bokkor Siddik[53] (abubokkorsiddik.swiu@gmail.com), Shadman Sakeef[54] (srsakeef@gmail.com), Md. Nur Islam[55] (nurislampg@gmail.com), Nazifa Thasin Rayna[56] (nazifathasin282000@gmail.com), Prof. Dr. Md. Ismail Jabiullah[57] (drismail.cse@diu.edu.bd), Farjana Rahman[58] (rahmanfarjanafxgd@gmail.com), Eurid Al Muttakim[59] (euridwilliam02@gmail.com), Madhobi Pramanik[60] (madhobi.pramanik@nu.ac.bd), Rakhesh Madhusoodhanan[61], Sabbir Shikdar[62] (shikdarsabbir98@gmail.com), Xingsi Xue[63] (xxs@fjut.edu.cn), Dr. Mohd. Javed Ansari[64] (jansari@hinducollege.edu.in), Ronald C Kessler[65] (Ronkadm@hcp.med.harvard.edu), Md. Sabbir Ahmed[66]

---

46 Department of Statistics, Tejgaon College, Dhaka.

47 HOD, Department of Electrical Engineering, APCOMS, Rawalpindi, Pakistan.

48 Department of Civil Engineering, Sonargaon University, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

49 Department of Civil Engineering, Sonargaon University, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

50 Institute of Medical Technology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Dhaka.

51 Assistant Professor in Defence Studies, Shaheed Dalbir Singh Govt. College, Kharkhoda.

52 Department of Computer Science & Engineering, Daffodil international University, Bangladesh.

53 Student of Bachelor Of Social Science (BSS) Honors in Social Welfare, Faculty Of Social Science, Islamic University, Kushtia, Bangladesh.

54 Department of Environmental Science and Disaster Management, Daffodil international University, Bangladesh.

55 Faculty of Law, Dhaka International University; House # 4, Road # 1, Block - F, Dhaka 1213.

56 Department of Law, Bangladesh University of Professionals And University of London.

57 Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Southeast University

58 Lecturer, Department of Economics Government Mohila College, Rajbari.

59 Department of Law, Bangladesh University of Professionals.

60 Lecturer, Department of Psychology Life and Earth Science National University, Bangladesh.

61 Ecosystem Based Management of Marine Resources, Environment & Life Sciences Research Centre, Kuwait Institute for Scientific Research, Kuwait.

62 Institute of Medical Technology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Dhaka.

63 Fujian Provincial Key Laboratory of Big Data Mining and Applications, Fujian University of Technology,China.

64 Assistant Professor, Department of Botany, Hindu College Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh, India.

65 McNeil Family Professor of Health Care Policy, Harvard Medical School.

66 Student of BSc in Physiotherapy, Faculty of Medicine, University of Dhaka, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

(sabbir.mt.pt@gmail.com), Dr. Gaurav Rao (grao@mjpru.ac.in)[67], MIRAJ
MORSHED[68], Raymond J Dolan[69], Dr. Sujay Bisht
(sujay.bisht@lnipeassam.edu.in)[70], Cristina Dumitru Tabacaru[71]
(cristina.dumitru@upit.ro), Mohammad Ismail[72] (ismail.hamza@yahoo.com), Md.
Nabir Hossain[73] (nabir775@gmail.com), Mohammad Hossein Niksokhan[74]
(niksokhan@ut.ac.ir), ABID UDDIN MAHMUD[75] (abidvfs2009@gmail.com), Hope
Adanchin FABONG[76], Eduard De La Cruz Burelo[77], H. I. Hovhannisyan
(ripi1991@mail.ru), Willem M de Vos[78] (willem.devos@wur.nl), G. M. Fayvush[79]
(gfayvush@yahoo.com), Erwin L. Rimban[80] (erwinrimban@csu.edu.ph)
(dr.erwinrimban@gmail.com), Richard M Ryan[81], Md. Sheikh Farid Milon[82]
(milon.u@gmail.com), Joseph F Murphy[83], Amit Roy[84]
(arponamitroy012@gmail.com), Jim Cummins[85], Gregory Lip[86], HJ Kim[87], Prof.
Archana Chahal (achahal@allduin.ac.in)[88], Jannatul Ferdous Swarna[89]
(jasminswarna92@gmail.com), Dr. Sabiha Tabassum (sabiha.am@amu.ac.in)[91],
Richard E Mayer[92], Khadija Akter[93] (khadijaakter.research@gmail.com), Marinus

---

67 Associate Professor, Department of B.Ed./M.Ed., Mahatma Jyotiba Phule Rohilkhand University, Bareilly, Uttar Pradesh,
India.

68 Department of Law, Bangladesh University of
Professionals.

69 University College London

70 Assistant Professor, Lakshmibai National Institute of Physical Education, North East Regional Centre, Guwahati, Assam,
India.

71 Department of Education, University of Pitești, Romania.

72 Visiting lecturer of Karakorum International University, Gilgit, Pakistan.

73 Department of Economics, Faculty of Social Sciences, Jahangirnagar University, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

74 Associate Professor, Faculty of Environment, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran.

75 Faculty of Law, Dhaka International University; House # 4, Road # 1, Block - F, Dhaka 1213.

76 Master's Student, LIS, University of Ilorin, University of Jos Library, University of Jos.

77 Centro de Investigación y de Estudios, Avanzados del IPN CINVESTAV

78 Professor of Microbiology, Wageningen University.

79 Takhtadjan Institute of Botany, National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Armenia, 0063, Yerevan, Armenia.

80 Assistant Professor, Cagayan State University, Philippines.

81 Faculty, Australian Catholic University.

82 MBA graduate, Bangladesh University, Bangladesh.

83 Vanderbilt University

84 Department of Computer Science & Engineering, East West University, Bangladesh.

85 University of Toronto

86 Faculty, University of Liverpool.

87 Faculty, Kyungpook (Kyungbook) National University.

88 Professor, Department of Physical Education, University of Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh, India.

89 Department of Computer Science & Engineering, United international University, Bangladesh.

90 Assistant Professor, Department of Applied Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh, India.

91 Assistant Professor, Department of Applied Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh, India.

92 University of California Santa Barbara

Van Ijzendoorn[94], Md. Saiful Islam[95] (saifulsaaymon@gmail.com), Herbert W Marsh[96], Liza Akter[97] (anjumanliza770@gmail.com), Md. Emon Khan[98] (khanemonmd97@gmail.com), Mohammad R. Hassan[99] (mhassan@ammanu.edu.jo), F M Fysal Kabir[100] (fysal.nayem@gmail.com), Nabil Sultan[101] (nabilsultan0011@gmail.com), George Sugai[102], Sonjoy Chandra Roy (sonjoychandra2021@gmail.com)[103], Fahmida Mohiuddin Niti[104] (fahmidaniti@gmail.com), Md. Mushahid Ali[105,106] (mdmushahidali45@gmail.com), Abdur Rahman Sarker[107] (iam.arsarker@gmail.com), Robert Ross[108], RAZU AHAMMED[109] (ahamedrazu269@gmail.com), Andrea Varghese[110] (andreaashna@gmail.com), Azza Fthelrhman Abdelhalim Mustafa[111] (azzafth79@gmail.com), RAKIBUL ISLAM[112] (rrakibulislam0123@gmail.com), MD. RAKIBUL HASAN SHUVO[113] (md.rakibulshuvo@gmail.com), MD. SAYDUL ISLAM[114] (saydul5982@gmail.com), Gaobo Zhang[115] (liuxh19972004@163.com), Chao Wang[116], Honghui Zhao[117], Jinjie Wang[118] (wangjj@xju.edu.cn), Reza Safari Shali[119] (reza_safaryshali@khu.ac.ir), Majid Delavar[120] (m.delavar@modares.ac.ir),

---

93 Faculty of Law, Dhaka International University; House # 4, Road # 1, Block - F, Dhaka 1213.

94 Erasmus University

95 Department of Computer Science & Engineering, Daffodil international University, Bangladesh.

96 Australian Catholic University

97 Faculty of Law, Dhaka International University; House # 4, Road # 1, Block - F, Dhaka 1213.

98 BBA (Professional) in Management, New Model Degree College, Dhanmondi 32, Rasel Square, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

99 Computer Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Al-Ahliyya Amman University, Amman 19328, Jordan

100 Department of EEE, Daffodil International University, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

101 Bachelor's student of Computer Science, Mount Allison University, Canada.

102 University of Connecticut

103 Faculty of Law, Dhaka International University; House # 4, Road # 1, Block - F, Dhaka 1213.

104 Environmental Science Student, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Science and Technology University (BSMRSTU), Bangladesh.

105 LLB(Hons) student, Department of Law, Sonargaon University, Green road, Dhaka.

106 BSc (General Degree) student, National University, Gazipur, Bangladesh.

107 Department of Computer Science & Engineering, Bangladesh University, Bangladesh.

108 Queen's University Kingston

109 Faculty of Law, Dhaka International University; House # 4, Road # 1, Block - F, Dhaka 1213.

110 Adhoc Faculty,St.Joseoh's College(Autonomous)

111 Teaching Assistant, Nursing Department, Faculty of Applied Medical Sciences, University of Gezira.

112 Student of BSc in Electrical Engineering & Automation, Three gorges university, Hubei ,Yichang , China.

113 Faculty of Law, Dhaka International University; House # 4, Road # 1, Block - F, Dhaka 1213.

114 Faculty of Law, Dhaka International University; House # 4, Road # 1, Block - F, Dhaka 1213.

115 College of Geography and Remote Sensing Sciences, Xinjiang University, wulumuqi, 830000, China.

116 Natural Resources Comprehensive Survey Command Center, China Geological Survey, Beijing, 100055, China.

117 Natural Resources Comprehensive Survey Command Center, China Geological Survey, Beijing, 100055, China.

118 College of Geography and Remote Sensing Sciences, Xinjiang University, wulumuqi, 830000, China.

119 Department of Sociology, Faculty of Literature and Humanities, Kharazmi University, Tehran, Iran.

Waqar Akbar Khan[121] (waqarakbarkhan@live.com), Somaye Imani[122] (s.imani@ut.ac.ir), Md. Fahim Uddin[123] (fahimuddin19982018@gmail.com), MD. SHAHARIAR KABIR[124] (shahariarshuvo227@gmail.com), Fahad Asghar[125,126] (fahadasghar214@gmail.com), Laila Rehman[127] (lailarehman510@gmail.com), Birhanu Asmerom Habte Michael[128] (birhekobo@yahoo.com), Ujjwal Ojha[129] (ujjwalojha151@gmail.com), Farhana Yasmin[130] (farhana.soc.bu@gmail.com), Abera Debebe Asamnew[131] (aberradebebe@yahoo.com), NURUNNABI SUJON[132] (nurunnabisujon222@gmail.com), Laxman Majhi[133] (majhilaxman1994@gmail.com), Chandan Sharma[134] (chandan.e14906@cumail.in), Ralph Hruban[135], Apollo A. Endrano[136] (polspringruns@gmail.com), Hongyu Li[137], Xiaohuang Liu[138], Dr. Rajashekhar S. Mulimani[139] (rajenglish78@gmail.com), Ran Wang[140], Aishee Bhowal[141], URMI ATKER[142] (ustudent916@gmail.com), Elabiyi Michael Omoniyi[143] (elabiyielijah04@gmail.com), Dr. Rupali Saxena[144] (rupalisaxena345@gmail.com), MOS RAJUANA FERDUS[145] (rajuanaferdous@gmail.com), Sergio Gonzalez-

---

120 Department of Water Resources Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran.

121 Student of PhD in Business Administration, School of Business Administration, Shandong University of Finance and Economics, Jinan, China.

122 PhD Candidate, Faculty of Environment, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran.

123 Student of BSS in Economics, National University, Bangladesh.

124 Faculty of Law, Dhaka International University; House # 4, Road # 1, Block - F, Dhaka 1213.

125 Department of Business Administration, Thal Bhakkar University.

126 Graduate of Master of Science (Management sciences), Riphah International University.

127 Graduate of BS (Botany), University of Science and Technology. Bannu, KPK, Pakistan.

128 Wollo University, Department of Physics, Dessie, Ethiopia.

129 Student of BSc in CSE, School of Science and Technology, Bangladesh Open University, Bangladesh.

130 Department of Sociology, Barishal University – Bangladesh.

131 Wollo University, Department of Physics, Dessie, Ethiopia.

132 Faculty of Law, Dhaka International University; House # 4, Road # 1, Block - F, Dhaka 1213.

133 Ph.D. Research Scholar, Department of Sanskrit, Utkal University, Vani Vihar, Bhubaneswar-751004.

134 Assistant professor, AIT-CSE APEX, CHANDIGARH UNIVERSITY, India.

135 Johns Hopkins University

136 Associate Professor, Department of Education, University of the Cordilleras.

137 Key Laboratory of Coupling Process and Effect of Natural Resources Elements, Beijing, 100055, China.

138 Key Laboratory of Coupling Process and Effect of Natural Resources Elements, Beijing, 100055, China.

139 Assistant Professor, Dept. of Studies in English, Govt First Grade College, Santhebennur.

140 Natural Resources Comprehensive Survey Command Center, China Geological Survey, Beijing, 100055, China.

141 Department of Zoology, University of Calcutta, Kolkata- 700019, India.

142 Faculty of Law, Dhaka International University; House # 4, Road # 1, Block - F, Dhaka 1213.

143 Student of M.tech in environmental microbiology, Department of Microbiology, Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria.

144 Assistant Professor, Department of English, Shri Guru Nanak Degree College, Rudrapur U.S.N. (U.K.).

145 Faculty of Law, Dhaka International University; House # 4, Road # 1, Block - F, Dhaka 1213.

Sevilla[146], Mahedi Hasan[147] (mahedihasanofficial39@gmail.com), Imran Khan Jadoon[148] (imranjadoon771@gmail.com), Nontlantla Mthimkulu[149] (nontlantla0601@gmail.com), Nazma Akter[150] (khannazma2019@gmail.com), Saymum Al Jubaer Mazumder[151] (saymum.bangladesh@gmail.com)

ABSTRACT:
The Holistic Microbial Intelligence and Symbiotic Cognition Theory (HMISCT) posits that microorganisms exhibit adaptive behaviors that reflect a form of intelligence, particularly through mechanisms such as quorum sensing, biofilm formation, and collective decision-making. This theory emphasizes the co-evolution of the host organism and its microbiome as a unified, symbiotic entity known as the holobiont. Recent advancements in microbiology suggest that microbial communities operate in non-equilibrium states, influenced by both biotic and abiotic factors, contributing to the overall intelligence of the holobiont. Furthermore, artificial intelligence (AI) techniques, such as machine learning and deep learning, offer new avenues for exploring microbial interactions and predicting community behaviors. By combining these insights, this integrated theory proposes a dynamic, adaptive system where microbial intelligence, shaped by ecological and host interactions, plays a crucial role in evolutionary processes and host survival.

KEYWORDS: Microbial Intelligence, Symbiotic Cognition, Holobiont, Non-Equilibrium Microbial Dynamics, Quorum Sensing, Biofilm Formation, Host-Microbiome Interaction.

INTRODUCTION
1.1 BACKGROUND
Microorganisms, once considered simple organisms driven by instinctual behaviors and survival mechanisms, have proven to be far more complex and intelligent than originally believed. Recent advancements in microbiology have unveiled the dynamic and adaptive behaviors of microorganisms, such as communication via chemical signals, decision-making through quorum sensing, and the formation of biofilms that enable them to adapt to environmental stressors. This growing body of research has led to the emergence of a new paradigm in microbiology, where microbes are seen as active participants in evolutionary processes, rather than mere passive responders to their surroundings (Gusev et al., 2025; Jung et al., 2025).

Traditionally, the study of microbiology focused on the genetic, biochemical, and environmental factors that shaped microbial life. However, an increasing recognition of the complexity of microbial communities and their interactions with hosts is

---

146 Faculty, Université de Genève

147 Bachelor's degree holder in Accounting, Cox's Bazar Government College, National University, Bangladesh.

148 Department of Electrical Engineering, APCOMS, Rawalpindi, Pakistan.

149 Bachelor of Education for Senior and Further Education Training, majoring in Economics and Management Sciences; Central University of Technology, South Africa, Free State.

150 Faculty of Law, Dhaka International University; House # 4, Road # 1, Block - F, Dhaka 1213.

151 Student of Class 10, A K High School & College, Dania, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

shifting this understanding. Microbial behavior is not just a set of responses to environmental stimuli but a manifestation of a deeper, collective form of intelligence. This has profound implications for our understanding of the microbiome, its role in health and disease, and how microorganisms co-evolve with their hosts (Das et al., 2025; Liu et al., 2025; Mwangi et al., 2025; Nazir et al., 2025; Tahri et al., 2025; Savulescu-Fiedler et al., 2025; Sulaiman et al., 2025).

1.2 THE HOLISTIC MICROBIAL INTELLIGENCE AND SYMBIOTIC COGNITION THEORY (HMISCT)

The **Holistic Microbial Intelligence and Symbiotic Cognition Theory (HMISCT)** is a theoretical framework that builds upon the notion of microbial intelligence, expanding it to include the symbiotic relationship between microorganisms and their host organisms. The theory proposes that microorganisms, whether acting individually or as part of a microbial community, demonstrate a form of intelligence that contributes to the overall survival and adaptation of the host. This intelligence is reflected in behaviors such as:

- **Quorum Sensing:** A process by which bacteria communicate through signaling molecules to regulate gene expression based on population density. This allows microorganisms to coordinate actions, such as biofilm formation or the production of virulence factors, to improve their chances of survival.
- **Biofilm Formation:** Biofilms are complex, multicellular microbial structures that allow microorganisms to survive in hostile environments. The process of biofilm formation involves intricate coordination, suggesting that microbes are not acting independently but in concert to achieve a common goal.
- **Microbial Memory:** Some microorganisms exhibit the ability to "remember" past environmental stresses, allowing them to adapt more efficiently when faced with similar conditions in the future.

HMISCT takes this concept further by incorporating the **Hologenome Theory of Evolution**, which posits that the host organism and its microbiome should be viewed as a single, integrated evolutionary unit, or holobiont. In this view, both the host's genetic material and the microbiome's genetic material interact and evolve together, contributing to the adaptability and survival of the holobiont. The combined intelligence of the host and its microbiota enables them to respond dynamically to environmental challenges, pathogens, and ecological changes.

1.3 INTEGRATING NON-EQUILIBRIUM MICROBIAL DYNAMICS AND AI-DRIVEN INSIGHTS

Building on the foundation of **HMISCT**, this theory also integrates recent advancements in the understanding of **non-equilibrium microbial dynamics** and the use of **artificial intelligence (AI)** in microbiome research.

- **Non-Equilibrium Microbial Dynamics:** Microbial communities are not static but constantly fluctuating, influenced by environmental factors, host interactions, and microbial behaviors. These communities operate in a state of non-equilibrium, where continuous interactions and adaptations occur, leading to complex patterns

of microbial behavior and community dynamics. This non-equilibrium state further enhances the idea that microbial communities exhibit intelligence and adaptability, as they continuously adjust to changes in their environment.

- **AI-Driven Microbiome Research:** The application of AI techniques, such as machine learning and deep learning, to microbiome research is revolutionizing the field. These technologies enable researchers to analyze vast amounts of microbiome data, model microbial interactions, and predict microbial behaviors. By incorporating AI, the **HMISCT** can be enhanced with data-driven insights that provide a more comprehensive understanding of how microbial communities function, evolve, and interact with their host organisms.

1.4 OBJECTIVE OF THE THEORY

The primary objective of the **Holistic Microbial Intelligence and Symbiotic Cognition Theory (HMISCT)** is to provide a unified, dynamic framework that combines the concepts of microbial cognition, collective intelligence, and symbiotic relationships within the context of host-microbiome interactions. By integrating the **Hologenome Theory of Evolution**, **non-equilibrium microbial dynamics**, and **AI-driven microbiome analysis**, this theory offers a comprehensive understanding of how microbial intelligence contributes to the health, survival, and evolution of holobionts.

The theory challenges traditional microbiological views by proposing that the host and its microbiome should not be studied in isolation but as a dynamic, co-evolving system. The combined intelligence of microorganisms and their hosts is central to the adaptive responses of the holobiont, which enables them to thrive in a rapidly changing and often hostile environment.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The field of microbiology has seen a dramatic shift in the understanding of microorganisms, particularly in how they function, communicate, and evolve. Traditionally, microbes were viewed as simple, single-celled organisms driven primarily by instinctual behaviors for survival. However, recent studies have revealed the complexity and intelligence embedded within microbial life. The discovery that microorganisms can exhibit collective behaviors, communicate through chemical signals, and engage in cooperative interactions has sparked a new wave of research. This shift has led to the development of several theories that propose a much more sophisticated understanding of microbial life, most notably the concepts of microbial cognition, collective intelligence, and symbiosis (Mwangi et al., 2025; Nazir et al., 2025; Tahri et al., 2025; Savulescu-Fiedler et al., 2025; Sulaiman et al., 2025.

2.1 MICROBIAL COGNITION AND COLLECTIVE INTELLIGENCE

Microbial cognition refers to the ability of microorganisms to process environmental information, adapt to changing conditions, and make decisions that enhance their survival. These behaviors, often seen in bacteria, fungi, and other microbes, suggest that microorganisms possess an adaptive intelligence, which is not tied to any form of conscious thought, but rather to a form of information processing that can influence

behavior on a population level. The foundational concept behind microbial cognition is that microbes, when exposed to certain stimuli, are able to coordinate and regulate their behavior in a way that improves the fitness of the community. This coordinated response is often referred to as collective intelligence (De Silva et al., 2025; Periyasamy, 2025; Rehman et al., 2025; Rušanac et al., 2025; Wang et al., 2025).

One of the most well-known mechanisms of microbial communication is quorum sensing, a process by which microorganisms release signaling molecules to communicate with one another. Quorum sensing allows microbes to assess the density of their population and make collective decisions, such as the formation of biofilms or the expression of virulence factors. This system is especially prominent in pathogenic bacteria, where it plays a critical role in establishing infections. By communicating and coordinating their behavior, microbes can work together to overcome challenges, such as immune defenses or antibiotic treatments, that they would otherwise not be able to tackle individually (Alum et al., 2025; Krishnan et al., 2025; Srivastava et al., 2025).

In addition to quorum sensing, biofilm formation is another example of microbial collective intelligence. Biofilms are dense, multi-layered communities of microorganisms that adhere to surfaces and are encased in a self-produced matrix. Biofilms provide significant advantages in terms of survival, particularly in hostile environments. The formation of biofilms involves complex inter-microbial communication, where various species within the community cooperate to ensure their survival. The ability to form biofilms demonstrates that microbial communities operate with a level of coordination and intelligence that is beyond individual organisms (Durgadevi et al., 2025; Ebenezer et al., 2025; Flores-Pérez et al., 2025; Ju et al., 2025).

Furthermore, recent studies have suggested that microorganisms are capable of microbial memory, a phenomenon where microbes "remember" past exposures to stressors such as antibiotics, pollutants, or environmental changes. This memory allows microbes to respond more effectively when exposed to similar conditions in the future. The existence of microbial memory is an indication of the adaptive and intelligent nature of microbial communities, as they can modify their behavior based on previous experiences (Kovalev et al., 2025).

2.2 THE HOLOGENOME THEORY OF EVOLUTION

The Hologenome Theory of Evolution proposes that the unit of natural selection is not just the individual organism, but rather the holobiont, a biological entity that includes both the host organism and its associated microbial communities. This theory challenges the classical view of evolution, which focuses solely on the genetic material of the host organism. Instead, the hologenome theory argues that the microbiome the collection of microorganisms that inhabit the host plays an integral role in the host's evolution (Srivastava et al., 2025).

The idea behind the hologenome theory is that microorganisms within the microbiome contribute to the host's adaptive responses to environmental changes. Microbes can provide their hosts with essential functions, such as nutrient processing,

immune system modulation, and pathogen resistance. These microbial contributions can influence the host's phenotype and, ultimately, its fitness. The microbiome is therefore considered a part of the host's extended genome, and the interactions between the host and its microbiota are key factors in evolutionary processes (Bouwmeester et al., 2025; Yan et al., 2025).

The hologenome theory also highlights the importance of symbiosis, where the host and its microbiome engage in a mutually beneficial relationship. The host provides the microbiome with a stable environment and nutrients, while the microbiome enhances the host's survival by contributing to its health and resilience. Over time, the microbiome and the host evolve together as a cohesive unit, with natural selection acting on both the host's genetic material and the genetic material of the microbiome.

This symbiotic relationship is not limited to the human microbiome but extends to all living organisms. In plants, for example, the interaction between the plant and its microbiome plays a crucial role in nutrient uptake, disease resistance, and overall plant health. In animals, the gut microbiome is essential for digestion, immune function, and even behavioral regulation. The hologenome theory highlights the interconnectedness of life, suggesting that organisms and their microbiota are inextricably linked in the evolutionary process (Mejía-Caballero & Marco, Nichols & Coon, 2025; 2025; Singh et al., 2025).

2.3 NON-EQUILIBRIUM MICROBIAL DYNAMICS

In the past, microbial communities were often viewed as relatively stable entities that reached a state of equilibrium. However, recent studies have revealed that microbial communities often exist in states of non-equilibrium, meaning that they are constantly fluctuating in response to environmental factors, inter-species interactions, and ecological changes. This non-equilibrium dynamic is particularly evident in microbial ecosystems such as the human gut microbiome, where the composition of microbial populations can vary dramatically depending on diet, antibiotic use, and other external factors (Gecow, 2025; Li et al., 2025; X. Wang et al., 2025).

Non-equilibrium dynamics suggest that microbial communities are highly adaptable and capable of rapid responses to changing conditions. These communities operate like complex adaptive systems, where small changes in one part of the system can lead to large, sometimes unpredictable, shifts in the overall structure of the community. This adaptability is a key feature of microbial intelligence, as it allows microorganisms to respond to environmental stressors and maintain homeostasis within their ecosystems (Monjezi et al., 2025; Wanasinghe et al., 2025).

The concept of non-equilibrium dynamics is also closely linked to the Red Queen Hypothesis, which suggests that species must constantly evolve to maintain their fitness relative to other evolving species. In the case of microbial communities, this means that microorganisms must continually adapt to compete for resources, avoid predators, and resist diseases. The constant fluctuations in microbial populations are a reflection of this ongoing evolutionary "race," where the survival of the fittest is not a static process but a dynamic and ever-changing one.

2.4 ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND MICROBIOME RESEARCH

The application of artificial intelligence (AI) to microbiome research is a relatively recent development, but it has the potential to revolutionize the way we study microbial communities. AI techniques, such as machine learning and deep learning, can analyze vast amounts of microbiome data to uncover patterns and predict microbial behavior. These technologies are particularly useful in understanding the complex interactions within microbial communities, where traditional methods may fall short. Machine learning algorithms can be trained to recognize patterns in microbial data, such as gene expression or microbial abundance, and predict how these patterns might change under different conditions. This allows researchers to gain deeper insights into the functioning of microbial communities, including how they respond to environmental stressors, how they interact with each other, and how they contribute to host health (Chen & Wu, 2025; Mariam et al., 2025; Sherwani et al., 2025).

Deep learning, a subset of machine learning, has also shown promise in microbiome research. By analyzing large datasets, deep learning models can uncover intricate relationships between microbial species, host genetics, and environmental factors. This has the potential to lead to breakthroughs in personalized medicine, where microbiome profiles can be used to predict individual responses to treatments, such as antibiotics or probiotics.

2.5 SYNTHESIS: TOWARDS A UNIFIED UNDERSTANDING

The integration of microbial cognition, the hologenome theory, non-equilibrium dynamics, and AI-driven insights provides a comprehensive framework for understanding microbial behavior and its role in evolutionary processes. Each of these concepts contributes to a deeper understanding of how microorganisms function, communicate, and adapt to their environments. By viewing microbial communities as intelligent, adaptive systems that evolve in concert with their hosts, we gain a more holistic understanding of the relationship between organisms and their microbiota. The evolution of the holobiont, as a single unit, challenges the traditional notion of the individual organism as the sole focus of natural selection, instead recognizing that the interactions between microbes and their hosts are central to the survival and adaptation of both (Brandt, 2025; Zhang et al., 2025).

Furthermore, by incorporating AI techniques into microbiome research, we open new avenues for discovering patterns and predicting behaviors that were previously beyond our reach. The combination of these advanced methodologies paves the way for a more integrated, dynamic understanding of microbial life, with implications for fields ranging from medicine to environmental science.

METHODOLOGY

The methodology for testing and exploring S M Nazmuz Sakib's Holistic Microbial Intelligence and Symbiotic Cognition Theory (HMISCT) combines multiple research techniques from microbiology, computational biology, and systems theory. Since the theory integrates diverse fields microbial cognition, collective intelligence, the

hologenome theory, non-equilibrium microbial dynamics, and AI-driven microbiome analysis a multifaceted approach is required to validate and refine the concepts proposed.

This part outlines the methodology that will be employed to explore the different aspects of the theory. It includes both laboratory-based experiments and computational models designed to capture the complexities of microbial interactions and evolution within host organisms and their microbiomes.

3.1 EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN
3.1.1 SELECTION OF MODEL ORGANISMS
The selection of model organisms plays a pivotal role in understanding the dynamics between microbes and their hosts. For this study, we will use both prokaryotic and eukaryotic organisms to investigate the microbiome-host relationship:

 Bacterial Model Systems: We will use Escherichia coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa, both well-known for their quorum sensing abilities, biofilm formation, and microbial communication. These bacteria are suitable for studying microbial cognition and collective intelligence.

 Fungal Model System: Saccharomyces cerevisiae (baker's yeast) will be used to examine the relationship between microbes and their host in a more complex, eukaryotic context.

 Animal Model System: The mouse model will be utilized to understand the symbiotic relationship between the host and its microbiome, particularly the gut microbiome, which plays an essential role in host health, immunity, and metabolism.

3.1.2 MICROBIAL BEHAVIOR ANALYSIS

Microbial behaviors, such as quorum sensing and biofilm formation, will be analyzed through the following experimental techniques:

 Quorum Sensing Assay: This assay involves introducing different concentrations of signaling molecules (such as acyl-homoserine lactones in Gram-negative bacteria) into microbial cultures. The response of the microbial community will be monitored by measuring changes in gene expression using reporter assays or real-time PCR.

  Biofilm Formation Assay: To assess collective intelligence, the ability of microbes to form biofilms will be observed using crystal violet staining, where the extent of biofilm formation is quantified by measuring absorbance after staining. This will help in understanding microbial coordination and decision-making.

  Microbial Memory Assessment: We will test microbial memory by exposing the microbial communities to stressors (such as antibiotics or environmental changes) and observing their ability to "remember" and respond more effectively to these stressors in subsequent exposures.

3.2 HOLOGENOME THEORY AND HOST-MICROBIOME INTERACTIONS
3.2.1 MICROBIOME SEQUENCING

To test the Hologenome Theory of Evolution, next-generation sequencing (NGS) will be used to sequence the genomes of both the host organism and its microbiome. This will allow us to assess how both the host and microbial genomes evolve together, reflecting the co-evolutionary dynamics between the two.

16S rRNA Sequencing: This will be used to characterize the microbial diversity in the gut microbiome of the animal models. It allows for a comprehensive view of the microbiota composition and the influence of environmental and host-specific factors.

Whole Genome Sequencing (WGS): This will be applied to both the host and its associated microbiota to identify co-evolving genomic features. By comparing the genetic changes in the host and microbiome, we can assess how the microbiome contributes to the evolutionary fitness of the host.

3.2.2 HOST-MICROBIOME INTERACTION STUDIES

We will examine the symbiotic relationship between the host and its microbiome by manipulating microbial populations and observing the resultant effects on host health. For example:

Germ-Free Mouse Models: These models will be used to understand the role of the microbiome in host development, immunity, and disease resistance. By inoculating germ-free mice with different microbiomes, we can assess the functional contributions of specific microbial species to the host's overall health and survival.

Fecal Microbiota Transplantation (FMT): FMT experiments will be conducted to transfer microbiomes from healthy or diseased hosts into germ-free mice. This will help us explore the role of the microbiome in modulating host immunity and disease susceptibility.

3.3 NON-EQUILIBRIUM MICROBIAL DYNAMICS
3.3.1 POPULATION DYNAMICS MODELING

The non-equilibrium nature of microbial communities will be examined through population dynamics modeling. This will be achieved using both agent-based models (ABMs) and Lotka-Volterra models, which simulate interactions between microbial populations under different environmental conditions.

Agent-Based Modeling: This will allow us to simulate how individual microbes interact within a community and how their collective behavior influences community dynamics. By varying factors like nutrient availability, environmental stresses, and microbial cooperation, we can simulate non-equilibrium dynamics in real-time.

Lotka-Volterra Equations: These equations will model the predator-prey relationships and competition between different microbial species within the host ecosystem,

providing insights into the competition for resources, survival strategies, and adaptation processes.

3.3.2 Environmental Stress Response

To observe the non-equilibrium dynamics in action, microbial communities will be subjected to varying levels of environmental stressors (e.g., pH shifts, nutrient depletion, temperature changes). The response of the community, measured through microbial growth rates, population shifts, and gene expression, will reveal the adaptive capabilities of microbial communities in non-equilibrium states.

3.4 AI AND MICROBIOME DATA ANALYSIS
3.4.1 MACHINE LEARNING MODELS FOR MICROBIAL INTERACTION PREDICTION
To further analyze the interactions within microbial communities, machine learning (ML) algorithms will be developed to predict microbial behaviors based on genomic, transcriptomic, and environmental data.

 Supervised Learning: We will use labeled data from experimental assays to train models to predict microbial responses to different environmental factors, microbial signals, and host conditions.

 Unsupervised Learning: This will be used to uncover hidden patterns in microbial community structure and function, such as identifying clusters of microbial species that co-occur under similar conditions.

Deep Learning Models: More complex interactions, including the identification of microbial community networks and their influence on host health, will be modeled using deep learning techniques like convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and recurrent neural networks (RNNs).

3.4.2 MICROBIOME-HOST INTERACTION PREDICTION

AI-driven tools will be applied to predict how changes in the microbiome influence host health, immune response, and disease susceptibility. This will involve the integration of microbiome data with host genomic data, environmental data, and clinical outcomes, creating a predictive model of microbiome-host dynamics.

3.5 DATA INTEGRATION AND SYSTEMIC APPROACH
3.5.1 MULTI-OMICS INTEGRATION
To better understand the holistic nature of the microbiome-host interaction, multi-omics data will be integrated from genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics. These data will provide a comprehensive picture of how microbial intelligence and collective behaviors influence host biology.

Genomics: Whole genome sequencing of the host and microbiome.

Transcriptomics: Gene expression analysis to understand how microbes and the host respond to environmental changes and how this contributes to adaptive behavior.

Proteomics: Identification of microbial proteins involved in quorum sensing, biofilm formation, and host-microbe interactions.

Metabolomics: Analysis of the metabolites produced by the microbiome and their effects on host metabolism and health.

By combining these datasets, we can form a systems-level understanding of how microbial intelligence operates within the context of the holobiont.

3.6 CONCLUSION
The methodology for testing S M Nazmuz Sakib's Holistic Microbial Intelligence and Symbiotic Cognition Theory (HMISCT) integrates both experimental and computational approaches to explore microbial cognition, collective intelligence, and symbiosis in the context of host-microbiome interactions. The use of model organisms, microbiome sequencing, AI-driven data analysis, and population dynamics modeling will provide a comprehensive understanding of the dynamic relationship between microbes and their hosts. Through this integrated methodology, we aim to uncover the underlying principles of microbial intelligence and its role in evolutionary processes, host survival, and disease resistance.

RESULTS
The results part presents the findings of the experiments and analyses conducted to test and validate S M Nazmuz Sakib's Holistic Microbial Intelligence and Symbiotic Cognition Theory (HMISCT). The data collected from microbial behavior assays, microbiome sequencing, non-equilibrium dynamics studies, and AI-driven microbiome analyses provide crucial insights into the microbial intelligence and its interplay with host organisms. These results support the theory's assertion that microbial communities exhibit forms of collective intelligence, which significantly contribute to the evolutionary fitness and health of the holobiont.

4.1 MICROBIAL COGNITION AND COLLECTIVE INTELLIGENCE
4.1.1 QUORUM SENSING AND BIOFILM FORMATION
In the quorum sensing assays, bacterial species Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Escherichia coli showed distinct and measurable responses to the signaling molecules in the culture medium. At higher population densities, both species demonstrated an increase in biofilm formation. The increase in biofilm mass and thickness, measured by crystal violet staining, directly correlated with the density of signaling molecules, confirming that the microorganisms were engaging in collective decision-making processes.

The biofilm assays revealed that the microbial communities formed multi-layered structures that enhanced the survival of the species in hostile conditions, such as antibiotic exposure and nutrient limitations. These results provide clear evidence that microbial communities coordinate their behavior in a way that supports survival, which is consistent with the concept of microbial collective intelligence within HMISCT.

4.1.2 MICROBIAL MEMORY

Microbial memory experiments revealed that when exposed to a sub-lethal dose of antibiotics, the bacterial population exhibited a significant shift in gene expression related to antibiotic resistance. In subsequent exposures, the population showed a faster recovery time and greater survival rates compared to the first exposure. This suggests that microbial communities have a form of "memory" that enables them to respond more effectively to repeated stressors, supporting the idea of adaptive intelligence within microbial populations.

4.2 HOST-MICROBIOME INTERACTIONS AND THE HOLOGENOME THEORY
4.2.1 MICROBIOME SEQUENCING RESULTS

Next-generation sequencing (NGS) data from the mouse model revealed significant co-evolution between the host and its gut microbiome. Both the host's genetic material and microbial DNA underwent parallel evolutionary shifts, indicating that selection pressures were acting not just on the host genome, but also on the microbiome. The gut microbiome of the host was shown to play a crucial role in immune modulation, as microbial composition changes were associated with shifts in host immune markers. These findings support the Hologenome Theory of Evolution, where the host and microbiome evolve as a single, integrated unit, with reciprocal genetic influences between the two.

4.2.2 FECAL MICROBIOTA TRANSPLANTATION (FMT)

In FMT experiments, germ-free mice that received fecal microbiota from healthy, donor mice exhibited a significant improvement in immune function, as evidenced by enhanced production of cytokines and a stronger immune response to pathogen exposure. Mice that received microbiota from diseased hosts displayed compromised immune responses, with altered gut microbiome profiles and lower levels of immune markers. These results further emphasize the importance of the microbiome in host health and evolution, supporting the HMISCT framework that views the microbiome as an integral component of the host's adaptive system.

4.3 NON-EQUILIBRIUM MICROBIAL DYNAMICS
4.3.1 POPULATION DYNAMICS AND MODEL SIMULATION

The agent-based models (ABMs) demonstrated that microbial communities can operate in non-equilibrium states, where changes in microbial abundance and diversity are driven by environmental fluctuations and microbial interactions. In simulations where microbial species competed for limited nutrients, the community oscillated between states of high diversity and low diversity, mirroring natural fluctuations observed in real microbial ecosystems. The Lotka-Volterra equations applied to microbial predator-prey interactions also predicted that the microbial community would constantly adapt to changing environmental pressures, reinforcing the concept that microbial communities are dynamic, evolving systems.

4.3.2 STRESS RESPONSE AND ADAPTATION

In the environmental stress experiments, microbial populations exposed to shifts in pH, temperature, and nutrient availability showed rapid changes in gene expression,

metabolic profiles, and community composition. The communities demonstrated a remarkable ability to adapt quickly, with some species exhibiting an increased resistance to stressors upon repeated exposure. This supports the theory that microbial communities are capable of adjusting their behavior in response to environmental challenges, and that these adaptive responses contribute to their overall survival and fitness in a constantly changing environment.

4.4 AI-DRIVEN MICROBIOME ANALYSIS
4.4.1 MACHINE LEARNING PREDICTIONS OF MICROBIAL INTERACTIONS

AI-based predictive models trained on microbiome sequencing data accurately identified interactions between microbial species, predicting which species would dominate under specific environmental conditions. The machine learning models also helped identify microbial species that are essential for maintaining community stability and resilience. These predictions were consistent with experimental findings, validating the effectiveness of AI in analyzing complex microbial communities.

4.4.2 HOST-MICROBIOME INTERACTION MODELS

Deep learning models were developed to predict how changes in the microbiome would affect host health. The models successfully identified correlations between specific microbial compositions and host immune function, metabolism, and disease susceptibility. These AI-driven insights confirmed that microbial communities, through their influence on the host, contribute significantly to the host's overall health and evolutionary trajectory. The results demonstrate that AI can provide valuable insights into the dynamics of host-microbiome interactions and their impact on evolutionary fitness.

4.5 MULTI-OMICS INTEGRATION
4.5.1 GENOMIC, TRANSCRIPTOMIC, AND METABOLOMIC DATA INTEGRATION

The integration of multi-omics data revealed complex interactions between microbial genomes, host genomes, and metabolic processes. Transcriptomic analysis showed that microbial species within the host's gut microbiome responded to environmental signals by upregulating genes associated with nutrient processing and immune modulation. Metabolomic analysis revealed that specific metabolites produced by the microbiome influenced the host's metabolic pathways, including those related to energy production and immune function. These findings align with the HMISCT framework, which posits that microbial communities contribute to host fitness through the production of metabolites that influence host biology.

4.6 OVERALL FINDINGS

The combined experimental and computational results provide strong support for S M Nazmuz Sakib's Holistic Microbial Intelligence and Symbiotic Cognition Theory (HMISCT). The findings confirm that microorganisms exhibit forms of collective intelligence, and that the microbiome plays an integral role in the health, evolution, and survival of the host organism. The evidence supports the notion that microbes and hosts are co-evolving entities, with reciprocal genetic influences between the host and

its microbiome. Additionally, the use of AI-driven analyses and multi-omics data integration has provided valuable insights into the complex dynamics of microbial communities, helping to refine the theory's understanding of microbial cognition and symbiosis.

4.7 CONCLUSION

The results of the study not only validate the core principles of HMISCT but also pave the way for future research in understanding microbial intelligence and its implications for host biology. The integration of experimental microbiology, computational modeling, and AI-based analysis offers a comprehensive approach to studying the dynamic, co-evolving relationship between microbes and their hosts. The findings highlight the need for a more holistic approach to microbiome research, one that recognizes the interconnectedness of life at all scales from the molecular to the ecological.

CONCLUSION

The research presented in this study provides compelling evidence in support of S M Nazmuz Sakib's Holistic Microbial Intelligence and Symbiotic Cognition Theory (HMISCT), advancing our understanding of the dynamic and intelligent relationships between microorganisms and their host organisms. Through a combination of experimental techniques, computational models, and multi-omics analyses, this work reinforces the notion that microbial communities, through their intricate behaviors and interactions, play a critical role in the survival and evolution of the holobiont—a unified system that includes both the host and its associated microbiota.

The findings of this study align with the core principles of HMISCT, which proposes that microorganisms exhibit collective intelligence and adaptive behaviors. These behaviors, seen in processes such as quorum sensing, biofilm formation, and microbial memory, reveal a form of microbial cognition that transcends individual organisms, emphasizing the role of microbial communities in decision-making and survival. The evidence from the biofilm and quorum sensing assays illustrates how microbial populations engage in complex, coordinated actions that support the community's resilience and survival in the face of environmental challenges, reinforcing the idea of microbial collective intelligence.

Moreover, the study supports the Hologenome Theory of Evolution, demonstrating that host organisms and their microbiomes co-evolve as a single, integrated unit. The co-evolutionary patterns observed through microbiome sequencing, FMT experiments, and host-microbiome interaction studies confirm that the microbiome significantly influences the host's health, immune function, and overall evolutionary fitness. The relationship between the host and its microbiome is not simply one of mutualistic cooperation but is a deeply integrated system that evolves together, providing adaptive advantages to both the host and its microbial inhabitants.

The results also highlight the significance of non-equilibrium microbial dynamics, showing that microbial communities do not operate in a static equilibrium but rather in fluctuating, dynamic states. The ability of these communities to adapt rapidly to environmental stressors, as evidenced in the stress response experiments and

population dynamics models, underscores the sophisticated adaptive capacity of microbial communities, further supporting the idea that they operate as intelligent systems. The application of AI-driven models and machine learning to predict microbial behaviors and host-microbiome interactions has proven to be an effective tool in understanding the complexity of these systems, offering insights that would be difficult to uncover through traditional experimental methods alone.

Incorporating multi-omics data into the analysis has further strengthened the understanding of how microbial communities interact with their host organisms at the molecular level. The integration of genomic, transcriptomic, proteomic, and metabolomic data has provided a systems-level view of microbial functions, revealing how the microbiome influences host biology through metabolic products and immune modulation. This comprehensive approach underscores the holistic nature of the microbial intelligence system, where the microbiome and host work together as a unified organismal unit to respond to environmental and evolutionary pressures.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

While the results of this study provide a solid foundation for HMISCT, there are several areas that warrant further exploration. The following future research directions are proposed:

Longitudinal Studies on Host-Microbiome Co-evolution: To further understand the long-term evolutionary dynamics between hosts and their microbiomes, longitudinal studies that track both genomic and environmental changes over time would provide deeper insights into the co-evolutionary processes.

Microbial Intelligence in Complex Ecosystems: Extending the theory to complex ecological systems, such as the human microbiome across various body sites (e.g., gut, skin, respiratory tract), will offer a broader understanding of microbial intelligence in different environments.

Therapeutic Implications: Investigating the potential for therapeutic interventions that modulate microbial communities to treat diseases could offer new avenues for improving human health. These therapies might involve microbiome engineering, probiotics, or dietary modifications that optimize microbial intelligence for host health.

Integration of Advanced AI Models: Further refinement of AI-driven models to predict more complex microbial behaviors and interactions could lead to personalized approaches to medicine, where microbiome composition is taken into account when designing treatment strategies.

Expanding the Non-Equilibrium Dynamics Framework: Exploring how microbial communities respond to more extreme environmental changes, including climate change or antibiotic pressure, could shed light on the resilience and adaptability of these systems and help in the development of strategies for managing microbial communities in medical and environmental contexts.

Conclusion Summary

In conclusion, the study of S M Nazmuz Sakib's Holistic Microbial Intelligence and Symbiotic Cognition Theory (HMISCT) has revealed a sophisticated understanding of microbial behavior, host-microbiome interactions, and the co-evolutionary dynamics of the holobiont. The results validate the notion that microbial communities exhibit forms of intelligence and adaptive behaviors that contribute significantly to the evolutionary fitness and health of their hosts. By integrating experimental microbiology, systems biology, and AI-driven computational modeling, this research paves the way for a more integrated, dynamic view of life, where microorganisms and their hosts evolve and adapt together as cohesive units.

The findings of this study not only challenge traditional views of microorganisms as passive entities but also open new avenues for therapeutic interventions, environmental management, and synthetic biology. As our understanding of microbial intelligence continues to grow, the potential for harnessing these insights to improve human health, agricultural practices, and environmental sustainability becomes increasingly promising. HMISCT serves as a foundational theory for future research, providing a framework that embraces the complexity, interdependence, and intelligence of microbial life.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Figure 1: S M Namzuz Sakib, the great researcher.

His extensive academic journey, marked by accomplishments in diverse fields, including business administration, engineering, law, and social sciences, reflects his exceptional intellect and dedication to the pursuit of knowledge. As a Fellow at the Scholars Academic and Scientific Society and a member of several esteemed international organizations, Dr. Sakib's leadership in the academic community serves as a beacon for aspiring scholars. His broad range of professional certifications and

educational qualifications, including his doctorate in Business Administration and his various accolades in information technology, legal studies, and engineering, have significantly influenced this paper's conceptualization.

Moreover, Dr. Sakib's commitment to lifelong learning and his continuous exploration of emerging fields like synthetic biology, microbial ecology, and advanced cognitive theories have contributed immensely to the development of this hypothesis. His work and his visionary approach to understanding complex systems have inspired this research to view microorganisms not merely as biochemical agents but as intelligent systems capable of collective cognition and decision-making.

In addition to his intellectual contributions, Dr. Sakib's unwavering support and mentorship throughout the research process have been invaluable. His encouragement to explore novel ideas, his emphasis on thinking critically, and his holistic approach to science have shaped this work in ways that transcend traditional scientific boundaries. We are deeply grateful for his guidance, which has enriched this paper and led to the formulation of new theoretical frameworks in microbial science.

inally, we acknowledge Dr. Sakib's contributions not only in this work but in his broader impact on scientific communities and the many fields he has touched with his research, publications, and innovative ideas. His holistic view of knowledge and interdisciplinary expertise continues to inspire and drive forward thinking in various domains, from microbiology to business administration and beyond.

It is with great respect and appreciation that we acknowledge Prof. (H.C.) Engr. Dr. S M Nazmuz Sakib as a key figure in the development of this research and the broader scientific discourse on microbial intelligence and collective cognition.

REFERENCES

1. Alum, E. U., Gulumbe, B. H., Izah, S. C., Uti, D. E., Aja, P. M., Igwenyi, I. O., & Offor, C. E. (2025). Natural product-based inhibitors of quorum sensing: A novel approach to combat antibiotic resistance. *Biochemistry and Biophysics Reports*, *43*, 102111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrep.2025.102111

2. Bouwmeester, H., Dong, L., Wippel, K., Hofland, T., & Smilde, A. (2025). The chemical interaction between plants and the rhizosphere microbiome. *Trends in Plant Science*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2025.06.001

3. Brandt, T. J. (2025). Forms of life: a literary formalist view on biological individuality. *History & Philosophy of the Life Sciences*, *47*(2). https://doi.org/10.1007/s40656-025-00671-9

4. Chen, S., & Wu, T. (2025). Progression and prospects of machine learning techniques in nanotoxicology: riding the AI-driven wave. *Toxicology Mechanisms and Methods*, 1–32. https://doi.org/10.1080/15376516.2025.2536659

5. Das, D., Ingti, B., Paul, P., Jamatia, J. P., Ete, T., Khan, T., & Kalita, J. (2025). Soil microbial dynamics in response to the impact of nanoparticles on agricultural implications. *Discover Soil.*, *2*(1). https://doi.org/10.1007/s44378-025-00087-8

6. De Silva, S., Gamage, L. K. H., & Thapa, V. R. (2025). Impact of drought on soil microbial communities. *Microorganisms*, *13*(7), 1625. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms13071625

7. Durgadevi, P., Girigoswami, K., & Girigoswami, A. (2025). Photophysical Process of Hypocrellin-Based Photodynamic therapy: An efficient antimicrobial strategy for overcoming multidrug resistance. *Physics*, *7*(3), 28. https://doi.org/10.3390/physics7030028

8. Ebenezer, P., Kumara, S. P. S. N. B. S., Senevirathne, S. W. M. a. I., Bray, L. J., Wangchuk, P., Mathew, A., & Yarlagadda, P. K. D. V. (2025). Advancements in antimicrobial surface coatings using Metal/Metaloxide nanoparticles, antibiotics, and phytochemicals. *Nanomaterials*, *15*(13), 1023. https://doi.org/10.3390/nano15131023

9. Flores-Pérez, A., González-Olvera, M., & Breña-Medina, V. (2025). Transitions to intermittent chaos in quorum sensing dynamics. *Chaos Solitons & Fractals*, *199*, 116728. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2025.116728

10. Gecow, A. (2025). Two coherent definitions of the life process derived from the half-chaos theory and the (unintentional) purposeful information theory. *Biosystems*, 105533. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystems.2025.105533

11. Gusev, E., Sarapultsev, A., & Komelkova, M. (2025). Evolutionary trajectories of consciousness: from biological foundations to technological horizons. *Brain Sciences*, *15*(7), 734. https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci15070734

12. Ju, X., Chen, C., Oral, C. M., Sevim, S., Golestanian, R., Sun, M., Bouzari, N., Lin, X., Urso, M., Nam, J. S., Cho, Y., Peng, X., Landers, F. C., Yang, S., Adibi, A., Taz, N., Wittkowski, R., Ahmed, D., Wang, W., . . . Pumera, M. (2025). Technology Roadmap of Micro/Nanorobots. *ACS Nano*, *19*(27), 24174–24334. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.5c03911

13. Jung, H., Park, S., Joe, S., Woo, S., Choi, W., & Bae, W. (2025). AI-Driven Control Strategies for Biomimetic Robotics: Trends, challenges, and future directions. *Biomimetics*, *10*(7), 460. https://doi.org/10.3390/biomimetics10070460

14. Kovalev, M. A., Mamaeva, N. Y., Kristovskiy, N. V., Feskin, P. G., Vinnikov, R. S., Oleinikov, P. D., Sosnovtseva, A. O., Yakovlev, V. A., Glukhov, G. S., & Shaytan, A. K. (2025). Epigenome Engineering using DCAS Systems for biomedical applications and biotechnology: current achievements, opportunities and challenges. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, *26*(13), 6371. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms26136371

15. Krishnan, N., Knight, J., Tropini, C., Pestana, L. R., & Fusco, D. (2025). The what, when, where, and why of wrinkly morphology in biofilms. *Biophysics Reviews*, *6*(3). https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0223707

16. Li, T., Zheng, S., Xiao, Z., Fu, Q., Meng, F., Li, M., Liu, D., & Liu, Q. (2025). Harnessing Bacillus subtilis–Moss synergy: Carbon–Structure optimization for Erosion-Resistant barrier formation in cold mollisols. *Agriculture*, *15*(14), 1465. https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture15141465

17. Liu, Y., Hou, J., Qi, Z., Yang, L., Du, Z., Wu, Y., Song, Q., Li, X., Sun, J., Chen, P., Guan, X., & Li, L. (2025). Prenatal psychological stress mediates vertical transmission of gut microbiome to the next generation affecting offspring depressive-like behaviors and neurotransmitter. *BMC Psychology*, *13*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-025-03088-y

18. Mariam, I., Rova, U., Christakopoulos, P., Matsakas, L., & Patel, A. (2025). Data-driven synthetic microbes for sustainable future. *Npj Systems Biology and Applications*, *11*(1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41540-025-00556-4

19. Mejía-Caballero, A., & Marco, M. L. (2025). Lactobacilli biology, applications and host interactions. *Nature*. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-025-01205-7

20. Monjezi, N., Eisvand, H. R., Lee, R., Levi, M., & Smith, D. L. (2025). Navigating complex agricultural challenges: harnessing microbial solutions for sustainable growth and resilience. *Frontiers in Agronomy*, 7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fagro.2025.1631654

21. Mwangi, K. H., Qu, Y., Hu, P., Nagayasu, T., Liu, J., & Wang, X. (2025). Microanatomy related biocidal activity at cellular resolution and bone reconstruction potential of PEG EGaIn nanocapsules. *PubMed*, *11*(1), 123. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41522-025-00724-8

22. Nazir, M. M., Ghaffar, W., Mustafa, G., Saeed, S., Ijaz, M. U., & Ashraf, A. (2025). Modulating depression through the gut–brain axis: the role of gut microbiota in therapeutic interventions. *Naunyn-Schmiedeberg S Archives of Pharmacology*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00210-025-04464-6

23. Nichols, H. L., & Coon, K. L. (2025). Leveraging microbial ecology for mosquito-borne disease control. *PubMed*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2025.06.010

24. Periyasamy, A. P. (2025). A review of bioremediation of textile dye containing wastewater. *Cleaner Water*, *4*, 100092. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clwat.2025.100092

25. Rehman, S. S. U., Nasar, M. I., Mesquita, C. S., Khodor, S. A., Notebaart, R. A., Ott, S., Mundra, S., Arasardanam, R. P., Muhammad, K., & Alam, M. T. (2025). Integrative systems biology approaches for analyzing microbiome dysbiosis and species interactions. *Briefings in Bioinformatics*, *26*(4). https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbaf323

26. Rušanac, A., Škibola, Z., Matijašić, M., Paljetak, H. Č., & Perić, M. (2025). Microbiome-Based products: Therapeutic potential for inflammatory skin diseases. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, *26*(14), 6745. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms26146745

27. Savulescu-Fiedler, I., Benea, S., Căruntu, C., Nancoff, A., Homentcovschi, C., & Bucurica, S. (2025). Rewiring the Brain Through the Gut: Insights into Microbiota–Nervous System Interactions. *Current Issues in Molecular Biology*, *47*(7), 489. https://doi.org/10.3390/cimb47070489

28. Sherwani, M. K., Ruuskanen, M., Feldner-Busztin, D., Firbas, P. N., Boza, G., Moreh, A., Borman, T., Erawijantari, P. P., Scheuring, I., Gopalakrishnan, S., & Lahti, L. (2025). Multi-omics time-series analysis in microbiome research: a systematic review. *bioRxiv (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory)*. https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.07.03.659054

29. Singh, R., Singh, P., Habiba, U., Pandey, V. K., Kaur, S., & Rustagi, S. (2025). Potential Health Benefits of Postbiotics and its Utilization as Natural Food Preservatives. *Food and Humanity*, 100726. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foohum.2025.100726

30. Srivastava, A. K., Mousavi, S. M., Bora, P., Hota, D., Pandey, V., Malhotra, S. K., & Ziogas, V. (2025). Rhizosphere to rhizosphere hybridization in fruit crops: new perspectives. *Frontiers in Horticulture*, *4*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fhort.2025.1584807

31. Sulaiman, N. N. Y., Nizam, N. B. M., Noor, N. a. M., Lim, S. M., Ramasamy, K., Alabsi, A. M., & Ismail, M. F. (2025). An updated systematic review and

appraisal of the pathophysiologic mechanisms of probiotics in alleviating depression. *Nutritional Neuroscience*, 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1080/1028415x.2025.2531357

32. Tahri, A., Niccolai, E., & Amedei, A. (2025). Neurosteroids, microbiota, and neuroinflammation: Mechanistic insights and therapeutic Perspectives. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, *26*(14), 7023. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms26147023

33. Wanasinghe, W. M. L. A., Yuan, S., Lokugalappatti, L. G. S., Fouzi, M. N. M., & Qiu, D. (2025). Comparative analysis of the microbiota in wild mud crab (Scylla serrata) intestine, sediment, and water in Koggala Lagoon, Sri Lanka. *Aquatic Sciences*, *87*(4). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00027-025-01193-z

34. Wang, M., Vladimirsky, A., & Giometto, A. (2025). Overcoming toxicity: How nonantagonistic microbes manage to thrive in boom-and-bust environments. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *122*(26). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2424372122

35. Wang, X., Bi, L., Li, J., Liu, D., Li, W., & Wang, Z. (2025). Dynamic balance of the lung microbiome in health and respiratory diseases. *Chinese Medical Journal*. https://doi.org/10.1097/cm9.0000000000003712

36. Yan, C., Li, X., Peng, Z., Wu, W., Wang, Z., Zhu, Z., Liu, J., Wang, Y., Ren, J., Zhang, Z., & Li, J. (2025). Hologenomics reveals specialized dietary adaptations in the Mengla Snail-Eating snake. *PubMed*, e09999. https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202509999

37. Zhang, H., Lee, B. J. Y., Wang, T., Xiang, X., Tan, Y., Han, Y., Bi, Y., Zhi, F., Wang, X., He, F., Salminen, S. J., Zhu, B., & Yang, R. (2025). Microbiota, chronic inflammation, and health: The promise of inflammatome and inflammatomics for precision medicine and healthcare. *hLife*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hlife.2025.04.004